1	THOMAS A. JOHNSON, #119203		
2	Law Office of Thomas A. Johnson 400 Capitol Mall, Suite 2560		
3	Sacramento, California 95814		
4	Telephone: (916) 422-4022 Email: <u>taj@tomjohnsonlaw.com</u>		
5			
6	Attorney for Emmanuel Nava		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9) Case No.: 2:24-cr-00054-DJC	
10	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
11	Plaintiff,) STIPULATION REGARDING) EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS	
12	VS.	OUNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
13	EMMANUEL NAVA and JOSE PEDRO	Date: May 23, 2024 Time: 9:00am	
14	NAVA, Defendants.	Judge: Hon Judge Daniel J. Calabretta	
15	Defendants.		
16		,	
17			
18	STIPULATION 1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on May 23, 2024. 2. By this stipulation, the defendants now move to continue the status conference		
19			
20			
21	until August 15, 2024, at 9:00 a.m., and to exclude time between May 23, 2024,		
22	and August 15, 2024 under Local Codes T2 and T4.		
	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the		
23	following:		
24	a) Counsel for the defendants desire additional time to review the discovery,		
25	counsel with their clients, conduct investigation and research related to the		
26	criminal charges, and otherwise prepare for trial.		
27			
28			

- b) Counsel for the defendants believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny them the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- c) The government does not object to the continuance.
- d) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- e) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of May 23, 2024 to August 15, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(ii), (iv) [Local Code T2 and T4] because this is a complex case and it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: May 17, 2024

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ Cameron Desmond **CAMERON DESMOND** Assistant U.S. Attorney

Case 2:24-cr-00054-DJC Document 33 Filed 05/20/24 Page 3 of 3

1	DATED: May 17, 2024	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney
2		Officed States Attorney
3		/s/ Emily Sauvageau EMILY SAUVAGEAU
4		Assistant U.S. Attorney
5		
6	DATE: May 17, 2024	
7		/s/ Thomas A. Johnson THOMAS A. JOHNSON
8		Attorney for Emmanuel Nava
9		
10		
11	DATE: May 17, 2024	/s/ Neat Allen Sawyer
12		NEAT ALLEN SAWYER
13		Attorney for Jose Pedro Nava
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	OBBE	ın.
20	ORDER	
21	IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 17th day of May 2024	
22		
23		/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA
24		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28		